

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			A ## -	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,077	07/18/2007	Fabrizio Dolfi	293522US0X PCT	8094
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, TIMOTHY P	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,077	DOLFI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Timothy P. Thomas	1614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence addres	s	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. Seply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	ugust 2006.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.			•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		•	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·		
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52. ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stag	ge	
application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application		

10/590,077 Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is required to elect:

- (i) a single disclosed receptor antagonist compound specie from the compounds recited in claims 6-8; and
- (ii) specify whether another active agent:
 - (ii-a) is present (claim 16); if elected specify another active agent specie from species recited in claim 16; or
 - (ii-b) is absent (claim 1).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number:

10/590,077 Art Unit: 1614

Ť.

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
- (i) compounds of claim 6: claims 1, 6, 9-19
- (i) compounds of claim 7: claims 1, 7, 9-19
- (i) compounds of claim 8: claims 1-2, 8-19

The following claim(s) are generic: all claims are generic.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking the species is the presence of a receptor antagonist in a pharmaceutical composition useful for treating rosacea. Sharpe, et al (WO 91/02527; 1991; IDS reference AO) teaches topical compositions for topical treatment of cutaneous states (abstract); the active ingredients include the compound risperidone (instant claim 8; p. 4, line 17). Such a composition, formulated for topical treatment, would inherently be useful for treating rosacea, as disclosed by applicant. Since the technical feature has been disclosed in the prior art, the technical feature linking the species does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, the species are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

10/590,077 Art Unit: 1614

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number:

10/590,077

Art Unit: 1614

272-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m. -

5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TPT/

Timothy P. Thomas

Patent Examiner

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5